## EXHIBIT B

## Chad Modra

	Page 1
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
KEVIN PHILLIPS,	)
Plaintiff,	) Case No. )3:12-cv-00344-RCJ-WGC
vs.	)
C.R. Bard, INC., et al.,	)
Defendants.	) )
IN THE UNITED STATE FOR THE NORTHERN DI ATLANTA I	ISTRICT OF GEORGIA
PAMELA B. CASON and KERRY B. CASON,	) )
Plaintiffs,	) Case No.
vs.	) 1:12-CV-01288-MHS
C.R. Bard, INC., and Bard PERIPHERAL VASCULAR, INC., Defendants.	) ) )
30(B)(6) VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITAKEN THROUGH	
Phoenix, March 28	
BY: KIM BATA, RMR/CSR Certified Reporter 50233	

## Chad Modra

	Page 167	
1	migration, no code for penetration, you're	
2	actually not trending for those injuries?	
3	A. It would correct.	
4	Q. So no code, no trending?	
5	A. That's how we would trend it.	
6	Q. Okay. Thank you.	
7	We'll attach as No. 6 Exhibit No. 6	
8	8 to your deposition, a document with Bates range,	
9	the last four digits actually, the letters	
10	have changed for those that I didn't provide	
11	copies with.	
12	Just for the record, the first page is	
13	BPVEFILTER-01-00002823. The title is Recovery	
14	Filter (FR048F) - R002 Chronology of Events.	
15	Do you see that, Mr. Modra?	
16	A. Yes.	
17	Q. Do you know what this is?	
18	A. I have not seen it before.	
19	Q. Does the series of numbers and letters	
20	20 R002 have any significance to you?	
21	A. R002 would refer to our I believe	
22	our standard for remedial actions. As the last	
23	four digits are R002.	
24	Q. What's a remedial action?	

```
Page 168
                  It is an action taken on a product or
1
             A.
2
      device. It may include notification to
3
      customers or up to and including removal of the
4
      device from the field.
5
                  So what triggers it?
             Q.
6
             A.
                  It's an escalating series of
7
      evaluations where you start with an
8
      investigation, and depending on the severity
9
      based on a healthy hazard assessment or health
10
      hazard evaluation, HHE, that paired with the
11
      occurrence of that event points you to an
12
      increasing series of activities and documents.
13
                  So that means you start with an
14
      investigation. If it's higher severity, higher
15
      occurrence, we're required by procedure to
16
       conduct a more -- an even more broad
17
      investigation. And then it escalates from
18
      there, from a division level approval to a
19
      division and corporate level approval to
20
      compelling us to -- requiring us to do some sort
21
      of remedial action.
22
             Q. You're speaking in the abstract. Can
23
      you give us an example of something that would
24
      trigger a remedial action?
```

```
Page 169
 1
                   MR. NORTH: Objection to the form.
2
                   THE WITNESS: Misbranding. Per
       procedure, if we identify misbranding, we would
3
4
      go through this investigative process, determine
5
      the root cause and then depending on its
6
      severity, have to take various forms of action,
7
       and that would include sending a letter to
8
      customers maybe clarifying it. It was a
9
       misbranding of something that was not related to
10
      the use -- or safe use of a device. If there
11
      was something inconsequential, a color on the
12
      packaging or something.
13
                  And if it's something more than that,
14
       then we would, again, based on the HHE, in the
      occurrence level of that have to take action
15
       where we would possibly remove the product from
16
17
      the field.
18
                   (BY MS. ZAIC) Okay. Would a death
              0.
19
       trigger a remedial action, a death -- strike
20
       that.
21
                   Would a death associated with an IVC
2.2
       filter manufactured by Bard trigger a remedial
23
       action?
24
                   MR. NORTH: Objection to the form.
```

```
Page 169
                   MR. NORTH: Objection to the form.
 1
2
                   THE WITNESS: Misbranding. Per
       procedure, if we identify misbranding, we would
3
4
      go through this investigative process, determine
5
      the root cause and then depending on its
6
      severity, have to take various forms of action,
7
       and that would include sending a letter to
8
      customers maybe clarifying it. It was a
9
       misbranding of something that was not related to
10
      the use -- or safe use of a device. If there
11
      was something inconsequential, a color on the
12
      packaging or something.
13
                  And if it's something more than that,
14
       then we would, again, based on the HHE, in the
      occurrence level of that have to take action
15
       where we would possibly remove the product from
16
17
      the field.
18
                   (BY MS. ZAIC) Okay. Would a death
              0.
19
       trigger a remedial action, a death -- strike
20
       that.
21
                   Would a death associated with an IVC
2.2
       filter manufactured by Bard trigger a remedial
23
       action?
24
                   MR. NORTH: Objection to the form.
```